

## The many dimensions of sustainability

by Lorenzo La Posta, Portfolio Manager

I am writing this piece from a wet and cold Italian countryside in early June, quite unusual for what should instead be “the good season” already. Last year on this very day, I was on holidays with a friend in Puglia, it was really hot and we were cooling down in the Adriatic sea. There’s climate change for you! But that is only one of the many things that worries me. There’s climate change, social inequalities, human rights, justice, environmental preservation, poverty and (unfortunately) a lot more.

From an investor’s perspective, I’m twice as worried though. What’s the impact that all these dynamics are having on my investments? What’s the impact my investments are having on these dynamics? How do I devise a win-win plan for my portfolios?

When it comes to incorporating sustainability considerations into investment decisions, there is a growing consensus on the importance of doing so, both from a responsibility perspective (position your investments to have an impact) and from a financial return perspective (position your investments to benefit from sustainable characteristics). However, there is still ongoing debate and little agreement on the best approaches to do so and several different methodologies are being used, each with its own pros and cons.

The first method is sector exclusions, that involves excluding specific industries or sectors from investment portfolios based on their negative environmental or social impacts. For example, investors may choose to avoid companies involved in tobacco, weapons, or fossil fuels. The advantage of sector exclusions is that it provides a straightforward way to align investments with sustainability values, but it can limit diversification and potentially restrict opportunities for engagement and positive change within those industries.

Controversy exclusions represent another approach. This methodology involves excluding companies that are involved in controversies related to environmental or social issues, such as child labour or human rights violations. By avoiding those, investors aim to promote responsible practices. However, determining the extent and severity of controversies can be subjective, and there may be challenges in obtaining accurate and timely information.

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) risk mitigation revolves around considering and quantifying how ESG factors can materially impact a company’s financial performance. This approach provides a comprehensive assessment of a company’s sustainability performance and its potential impact on investment returns.

However, there may be challenges in accurately measuring and quantifying these factors, as well as variations in methodologies used by different investors and data providers.

Another methodology is centred around reducing the environmental footprint of the investment companies, seeking to minimize carbon emissions, waste generation, and water usage, for example. By investing in companies that actively work towards environmental sustainability, investors can contribute to positive change but setting reduction targets and accurately measuring environmental impacts can be complex and require ongoing monitoring and verification.

Measuring and increasing impact towards the United Nations’ Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is gaining traction as a methodology for sustainable investing. This approach aligns investments with specific SDGs, such as poverty alleviation, gender equality, and climate action. By directing capital towards companies that contribute to these goals, investors aim to generate positive social and environmental outcomes. However, defining measurable impacts and assessing the alignment of investments with the SDGs can be challenging, even more than with ESG risks.

Lastly, voting and engagement play a crucial role in sustainable investing. This methodology involves actively using shareholder rights to influence companies’ practices and policies through voting on resolutions and engaging in dialogues with management. It allows investors to drive change from within and hold companies accountable for their environmental and social performance. However, it requires dedicated resources and expertise to effectively engage with companies and promote sustainable practices.

Incorporating sustainability considerations into equity investment decisions can be approached through various methodologies, each with its own advantages and challenges. I firmly believe that by integrating them all, in a measured, holistic and risk-managed way, one can achieve substantial results and benefit through better long-term risk-adjusted returns. After all, sustainability is just another dimension of quality. Sustainable companies should face lower costs of running their business (less carbon taxes, fines, renovation costs etc...), improved market sentiment, lower cost of capital and ultimately better returns.



# Global Matters Weekly

5 June 2023

For more information, please contact your adviser or alternatively contact:

Belvest Investment Services Limited  
研富投資服務有限公司  
9th Floor, Centre Mark II  
305-313 Queen's Road Central  
Sheung Wan, Hong Kong

Tel +852 2827 1199  
Fax +852 2827 0270  
belvest@bis.hk  
[www.bis.hk](http://www.bis.hk)

## Important notes

This communication is issued by Belvest Investment Services Limited and/or Belvest related companies (collectively, and individually Belvest) solely to its clients, qualified prospective clients or institutional and professional investors. Unless stated otherwise, any opinions or views expressed in this communication do not represent those of Belvest. Opinions or views of any Belvest company expressed in this communication may differ from those of other departments or companies within Belvest, including any opinions or views expressed in any research issued by Belvest. Belvest may deal as Distributor or Agent, or have interests, in any financial product referred to in this email. Belvest has policies designed to negate conflicts of interest. Unless otherwise stated, this e-mail is solely for information purposes.

This message may contain confidential information. Any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this information outside the original recipients of this message is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message by mistake, please notify the sender by reply email immediately.

Unless specifically stated, neither the information nor any opinion contained herein constitutes as an advertisement, an invitation, a solicitation, a recommendation or advise to buy or sell any products, services, securities, futures, options, other financial instruments or provide any investment advice or service by Belvest.

No representation or warranty is given as to the accuracy, likelihood of achievement or reasonableness of any figures, forecasts, prospects or return (if any) contained in the message. Such figures, forecasts, prospects or returns are by their nature subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies. The assumptions and parameters used by Belvest are not the only ones that might reasonably have been selected and therefore Belvest does not guarantee the sequence, accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the information provided herein. None of Belvest, its group members or any of their employees or directors shall be held liable, in any way, for any claims, mistakes, errors or otherwise arising out of or in connection with the content of this e-mail.

This e-mail and any accompanying attachments are not encrypted and cannot be guaranteed to be secure, complete or error-free as electronic communications may be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, delayed or incomplete, and/or may contain viruses. Belvest therefore does not accept any liability for any interception, corruption, loss, destruction, incompleteness, viruses, errors, omissions or delays in relation to this electronic communication. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version. Electronic communication carried within the Belvest system may be monitored.