

Lessons learned from the bank-run playbook

by Gregoire Sharma, CFA, Senior Research & Portfolio Analyst

So far this year we've had very little respite from the relentless pace in markets. Russia continues to wage its atrocious and unprovoked war in Ukraine, a global recession looms, and the first quarter is ending with a bang as the dissolution of Credit Suisse into UBS has gripped investors' attention for the past two weeks. Still, just a couple of weeks ago*, you'd have been hard pushed to add another banking crisis to the list of market woes. Indeed, since the Global Financial Crisis, regulators have imposed a series of stringent checks and balances to ensure the health of the banking sector and avoid a repeat of 2008. Recent fears of 'national champion' bank solvency have certainly been overplayed, but whilst the systemic risks of 2008 remain improbable, liquidity risk has always been and will remain banks' proverbial 'monkey on the back'.

Banks constantly face liquidity risk given deposits held are subject to constant and sometimes unpredictable change. Terry Smith, manager of the Fundsmith strategy, recently recounted an anecdote whereby in the eighties, a local bank in China saw a group of people gather under an awning outside its building as they sought shelter from the rain. This led passers-by to believe that was the start of a bank run, which turned into a self-fulfilling prophecy. It doesn't take much to spook the markets, even if the fundamentals don't warrant it – an oft-reoccurring theme in the bank run playbook.

With the failure of Silicon Valley Bank on March 10, the global banking sector was shaken to its core, and nervous investors, long frustrated by Credit Suisse's poor performance and lack of profitability, started selling equity and debt, culminating with the Swiss National Bank (SNB) intervention which saw US\$17 billion of Credit Suisse Additional Tier 1 (AT1) bonds getting wiped out. AT1 bonds (otherwise known as Contingent Convertibles or CoCos) are a tier of regulatory capital which itself is an amount designed as a buffer to absorb losses that might otherwise adversely affect the issuing firm and its creditors. Make no mistake though, the initial selling was not the result of savvy investors' fundamental analyses of Credit Suisse's business, but rather based on poorly informed and panic-driven inferences.

Yes, Credit Suisse had long been tarnished by a series of controversies (losing two Chief Executive Officers in the course of two years, suffering billions of dollars in losses – think Archegos and Greensill – and even getting marred in a drug cartel scandal!) which saw wealthy customers jump ship. And yes, Credit Suisse was the least profitable bank in the Euro Stoxx Index (the only one to have negative return on equity for the last five years), but its board of directors had begun a vital overhaul process involving changing the culture of the bank and raising sufficient capital to restructure the business (namely by spinning off its investment banking division). Despite all this, in the runup to the Swiss regulator's intervention on Sunday 19 March, the bank was very solvent, and even very liquid. In fact, its liquidity coverage ratio jumped to 190% following the 50 billion Swiss Franc injection by the SNB. Banks' liquidity positions are stress-tested every year by regulators and there were no concerns on this front. Unfortunately, this was not enough to reassure markets, hence the Swiss government forced through UBS' acquisition of Credit Suisse.

These events should serve as a stark reminder that it's easy to fall into a state of complacency from overly relying on quantitative indicators, but we cannot shun the importance of qualifying these with rigorous qualitative risk assessments. Indeed, fear and panic can rapidly take hold of investors, causing them to act irrationally, ultimately dismissing fundamental analysis and common sense. Importantly though, the market dislocations that result, often make for attractive valuation arguments and if coupled with solid fundamentals can produce compelling investment opportunities.

*at the time of writing (24/03/2023)



Global Matters Weekly

03 April 2023

For more information, please contact your adviser or alternatively contact:

Belvest Investment Services Limited
研富投資服務有限公司
9th Floor, Centre Mark II
305-313 Queen's Road Central
Sheung Wan, Hong Kong

Tel +852 2827 1199
Fax +852 2827 0270
belvest@bis.hk
www.bis.hk

Important notes

This communication is issued by Belvest Investment Services Limited and/or Belvest related companies (collectively, and individually Belvest) solely to its clients, qualified prospective clients or institutional and professional investors. Unless stated otherwise, any opinions or views expressed in this communication do not represent those of Belvest. Opinions or views of any Belvest company expressed in this communication may differ from those of other departments or companies within Belvest, including any opinions or views expressed in any research issued by Belvest. Belvest may deal as Distributor or Agent, or have interests, in any financial product referred to in this email. Belvest has policies designed to negate conflicts of interest. Unless otherwise stated, this e-mail is solely for information purposes.

This message may contain confidential information. Any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this information outside the original recipients of this message is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message by mistake, please notify the sender by reply email immediately.

Unless specifically stated, neither the information nor any opinion contained herein constitutes as an advertisement, an invitation, a solicitation, a recommendation or advise to buy or sell any products, services, securities, futures, options, other financial instruments or provide any investment advice or service by Belvest.

No representation or warranty is given as to the accuracy, likelihood of achievement or reasonableness of any figures, forecasts, prospects or return (if any) contained in the message. Such figures, forecasts, prospects or returns are by their nature subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies. The assumptions and parameters used by Belvest are not the only ones that might reasonably have been selected and therefore Belvest does not guarantee the sequence, accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the information provided herein. None of Belvest, its group members or any of their employees or directors shall be held liable, in any way, for any claims, mistakes, errors or otherwise arising out of or in connection with the content of this e-mail.

This e-mail and any accompanying attachments are not encrypted and cannot be guaranteed to be secure, complete or error-free as electronic communications may be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, delayed or incomplete, and/or may contain viruses. Belvest therefore does not accept any liability for any interception, corruption, loss, destruction, incompleteness, viruses, errors, omissions or delays in relation to this electronic communication. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version. Electronic communication carried within the Belvest system may be monitored.