Global Matters Weekly

26 September 2022

Belvest 研富

Calm before the storm

by Mark Wright, CFA

Not long back from my first holiday abroad since the pandemic, I was looking out my window longing to be back swimming in the warm Mediterranean ocean off the East coast of Mallorca. I will spare you the details of the travel chaos we experienced both ways, it was a lesson learned!

I was expecting 10 days of sun and nothing much else weather-wise, but it didn't quite turn out like that. We were fortunate enough to witness not just one but two thunderstorms. I say fortunate because they served as a healthy reminder that it is always calm before the storm.

When it rains in Mallorca it doesn't just rain, it pours and not only does it pour, it hails! One minute I was soaking up the sun, swimming in the sea with little care in the world, the next I was running back to the hotel to take cover from giant hail stones. The speed with which the weather turned was breathtaking, but maybe not quite as remarkable as the speed with which inflation has gone from close to zero in the UK and other parts of the world, to double digits now.

For the last three decades, inflation had been relatively calm – mostly range bound between 1% and 3% in both the UK and United States. There had also been little in the way of interest rate volatility for over a decade. In the UK, the Bank of England had kept the base rate below 1% since 2009.

However, the latest UK inflation print is close to double digits (and comfortably double digits for those who prefer to reference RPI)¹. It was looking unlikely to stop rising any time soon, but UK Prime Minster Liz Truss's latest policy to alleviate pressure on household finances by capping energy bills should hopefully bring peak inflation much nearer. It does, however, also risk inflation remaining elevated for longer.

Central bankers now appear to be in competition to see who can raise interest rates the quickest, driving the cost of credit up for borrowers. They, and other policymakers, appear to be getting off lightly for causing one of the worst cost of living crises in living memory. We now find ourselves in the eye of an inflationary storm and are all paying the price for significant policy errors that are eroding people's standard of living at an alarming rate. Russian President Vladimir Putin's invasion of Ukraine has certainly not helped, but inflation in both the UK and US had already started to take off before any Russian tanks had crossed the Ukrainian border.

The first policy error was the complacency with which central bankers naively believed that high inflation had been defeated and was a thing of the past. Their complacency was best demonstrated when Chairman of the Federal Reserve Jerome Powell stated just two years ago in August 2020, that the Fed would relax its inflation target, willingly allowing inflation to temporarily run hot in an attempt to instead target average inflation over a cycle. It didn't get much coverage at the time but in one fell swoop, the seeds were sewn for previously well-anchored inflation expectations to start rising.

The second was a complete lack of foresight and disregard for basic economic principles. It is frustrating to read almost daily quotes from central bankers that suggest they are simply reacting to the latest inflation print or data on economic activity. Any economics student will tell you that monetary policy acts with a significant lag and central bank policy should always be addressing inflation 18 months to two years out from the present day.

There is also a well-known relationship between unemployment and inflation that on a graph, plots what is called the 'Phillips Curve'. This shows us that low levels of unemployment leads to higher levels of inflation. Central bankers seem to have ignored this relationship in recent years. Governments around the world devised policies to deliberately keep unemployment low during the pandemic². It barely rose above 5% in the UK and very quickly started to fall at pace in 2021; a time when the Bank of England was still printing money along with many other central banks around the world. The European Central Bank was even still printing money when inflation was north of 8%! Why? Because of an apparent obsession for policy to be consistent with prior forward guidance.

Forward guidance has become a commonly used monetary policy tool within the last decade. Central banks essentially guide the market as to where interest rates are heading. In theory, it should reduce economic volatility as individuals and businesses can make spending and investment decisions with an idea as to where the cost of finance is trending. However, the problem is that stability breeds instability, and forward guidance in itself can be counterproductive by dampening the impact of each interest rate decision on asset markets and the economy.

The last two policy errors didn't sit with central banks but instead with politicians. Poor energy policy has made the UK and the rest of Europe highly vulnerable to energy shocks. In the case of the UK, an inability to press on with more nuclear power generation and an unwillingness to invest in gas storage facilities has been a grave policy error. In Europe, the decision by industrial powerhouse, Germany, to rely so much on Russia to satisfy its energy needs was an even bigger policy error. The antiguated link between gas prices and electricity prices is yet another, given that over 40% of the UK's power generation now derives from renewable energy sources at a substantially lower cost of production³. Both the UK and the rest of Europe now appear to be waking up to this issue.

Lastly, Government policies during the pandemic were driven far too much by health considerations and specifically one isolated healthcare issue. The stress placed on supply chains across the world from overzealous Covid-19-related work restrictions has contributed significantly to today's runaway inflation. This will sadly now likely lead to considerable ill-health, as a consequence of greater poverty. Added to the increased incidences of cancer from missed screening appointments during the pandemic and greater cases of mental health suffering, it begs the question whether society really benefitted from those heavy-handed policies that restricted economic activity.

Sources 1 <u>https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices</u>

 https://www.oecd.org/coronavirus/policy-responses/job-retentionschemes-during-the-covid-19-lockdown-and-beyond-0853baid/ 3 https://www.nationalgrid.com/stories/nergy-explained/how-muchuks-energy-renewable#:~text=This%20said%2C%20renewable%20 energy%20has_between%20October%20and%20December%202021, Unless stated all figures sourced from Bloomberg Finance, LP

Global Matters Weekly

26 September 2022

Belvest 研富

For more information, please contact your adviser or alternatively contact:

Belvest Investment Services Limited 研富投資服務有限公司 9th Floor, Centre Mark II 305-313 Queen's Road Central Sheung Wan, Hong Kong

Tel +852 2827 1199 Fax +852 2827 0270 belvest@bis.hk www.bis.hk

Important notes

This communication is issued by Belvest Investment Services Limited and/or Belvest related companies (collectively, and individually Belvest) solely to its clients, qualified prospective clients or institutional and professional investors. Unless stated otherwise, any opinions or views expressed in this communication do not represent those of Belvest. Opinions or views of any Belvest company expressed in this communication may differ from those of other departments or companies within Belvest, including any opinions or views expressed in any research issued by Belvest. Belvest may deal as Distributor or Agent, or have interests, in any financial product referred to in this email. Belvest has policies designed to negate conflicts of interest. Unless otherwise stated, this e-mail is solely for information purposes.

This message may contain confidential information. Any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this information outside the original recipients of this message is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message by mistake, please notify the sender by reply email immediately.

Unless specifically stated, neither the information nor any opinion contained herein constitutes as an advertisement, an invitation, a solicitation, a recommendation or advise to buy or sell any products, services, securities, futures, options, other financial instruments or provide any investment advice or service by Belvest.

No representation or warranty is given as to the accuracy, likelihood of achievement or reasonableness of any figures, forecasts, prospects or return (if any) contained in the message. Such figures, forecasts, prospects or returns are by their nature subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies. The assumptions and parameters used by Belvest are not the only ones that might reasonably have been selected and therefor Belvest does not guarantee the sequence, accuracy, completeness or timeliness of the information provided herein. None of Belvest, its group members or any of their employees or directors shall be held liable, in any way, for any claims, mistakes, errors or otherwise arising out of or in connection with the content of this e-mail.

This e-mail and any accompanying attachments are not encrypted and cannot be guaranteed to be secure, complete or error-free as electronic communications may be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, delayed or incomplete, and/ or may contain viruses. Belvest therefore does not accept any liability for any interception, corruption, loss, destruction, incompleteness, viruses, errors, omissions or delays in relation to this electronic communication. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version. Electronic communication carried within the Belvest system may be monitored.